Fedora EPEL Mirror Stats

Unique IP addresses seen daily per release (7-day moving average)

- EPEL 5
- EPEL 6
- EPEL 7
- EPEL 8

Notable events:
- Metaflow
- RHEL 5 EOM
- CentOS 8 EOL change announced
- RHEL 6 EOM

Email: tdawson@redhat.com

Velociraptorizer
epel: name over time (stacked)
epel: arch for age 4

- x86_64 (98.1%)
- aarch64 (1.7%)
- other (0.3%)
epel: name for arch x86_64

brontosaurusifier
epel: name for arch aarch64
epel: name for arch ppc64le
epel: name for arch s390x
epel: age over time (share)
EPEL Packagers SIG
WHERE ARE WE NOW

- EPEL 8
  - Qt 5.12
  - K 5.18

- CentOS Stream 8
  - Qt 5.15
  - K 5.22

- EPEL NEXT 8
WHERE ARE WE GOING

- **EPEL 8**
  - Qt 5.15
  - K 5.22? (Unknown)

- **CentOS Stream 9**
  - Qt 5.15
  - K 5.22? (Unknown)

- **EPEL NEXT 9**
  - Qt 5.15
  - K 5.22? (Unknown)
QUESTIONS & ANSWERS
REFERENCES

• EPEL on Fedora Docs
  • https://docs.fedoraproject.org/en-US/epel/
• EPEL Packagers SIG
  • https://docs.fedoraproject.org/en-US/epel/epel-packagers-sig/
• EPEL Next
  • https://docs.fedoraproject.org/en-US/epel/epel-about-next/
REFERENCES

• Missing devel packages
  • https://docs.fedoraproject.org/en-US/epel/epel-faq/#rhel_8_has_binaries_in_the_release_but_is_missing_some_corresponding_devel_package._how_do_i_build_a_package_that_needs_that_missing_devel_package
  • Example request for missing devel package Bug:
    • RHEL8: https://bugzilla.redhat.com/show_bug.cgi?id=1976409
    • RHEL9: https://bugzilla.redhat.com/show_bug.cgi?id=1978452
  • Example <package>-epel (only has missing devel)
    • https://src.fedoraproject.org/rpms/flatpak-epel/blob/epel8-next/f/flatpak-epel.spec
MORE REFERENCES

- KDE in EPEL wiki page
  - https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/SIGs/KDE/EPEL
- KDE in EPEL Update Policy
  - https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/SIGs/KDE/EPEL#Update_Schedule